comments	comments are generally missing, redundant or obsolete; or use mixed languages	comments highlight important decisions and potential problems, but may be wordy or misspelled	comments highlight important decisions and potential problems, are concise and spelled correctly
	Presentation - is the code visually organized for a quick read?		
layout	old code is present	arrangement of code within source files is not optimized for readability	arrangement of code within source files is optimized for readability
formatting	formatting is missing or misleading or lines are too long to read	indentation, line breaks, spacing and brackets highlight the intended structure but erratically	indentation, line breaks, spacing and brackets fully highlight the intended structure
	Algorithms - is each part of the code as simple as possible?		
flow	there is deep nesting; code performs more than one task per line; control structures are customized in a misleading way	flow is complex or contains many exceptions; choice of control structures and libraries is inappropriate	flow is simple and contains few exceptions; choice of control structures and libraries is appropriate
expressions	expressions are repeated or contain unnamed constants	expressions are complex; data types are inappropriate	expressions are simple; data types are appropriate
Structure - is the code organized for quick understanding of parts and the whole?			
decomposition	most code is in one or a few big routines; variables are reused for different purposes	most routines are limited in length but mix tasks; routines share many variables; parts of code are repeated	routines perform a limited set of tasks divided into parts; shared variables are limited; code is unique
modularization	modules are artificially separated	modules have vague subjects, contain many variables or contain many routines	modules have clearly defined subjects, contain few variables and a limited amount of routines
- highlight feature	es from all levels that are present in th	ne code, starting at the lowest	

- for each criterion, circle the level that is most representative of the features that are present

- level 2 implies that the features in level 1 are not present, level 4 implies that the features in level 3 are also present

- no need to circle a level that is not relevant to the assignment

Level 1: problematic features are present Level 2: core quality goals not yet achieved Level 3: core quality goals achieved

Level 4: achievement beyond core quality goals

2

names accurately describe the

intent of the code, but can be

headers summarize the goal of

parts of the program and how to

use those, but may be incomplete

incomplete, fuzzy, lengthy,

misspelled

or misspelled

Documentation - is the code well-annotated to ensure rapid understanding?

3

names accurately describe the

complete, distinctive, concise,

headers accurately summarize

and how to use those, are spelled correctly, may be wordy

the role of parts of the program

intent of the code, and are

correctly spelled

4

all names in the program use a

headers contain only essential

explanations, information and

comments are only present where strictly needed

arrangement of code is consistent between files

formatting makes differences and similarities clearly visible

flow prominently features the

expressions are all essential for

routines perform a very limited set of tasks and the number of parameters and shared variables

modules are defined such that communication between them is

expected path

control flow

is limited

limited

consistent vocabulary

references

LEVEL

names

headers

1

names appear unreadable,

meaningless or misleading

headers are missing or

descriptions are redundant or

obsolete; or use mixed languages